Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/774,657	BLEDSOE, GARY R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kim M. Lewis	3772
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Kim M. Lewis.	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Harris (attorney)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9/25/08	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 28		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writtorecord of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Kim M. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, AU 3772	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss the dependency of claim 28. During a return telephone interview, applicant's attorney indicated that claim 28 should depend from claim 27. The examiner indicated that the change would be made by an Examiner's Amendment.